## NAME & ADDRESS

Mark M. Kassabian (Bar No. 156595)
Buehler & Kassabian, LLP
350 W. Colorado Blvd., Suite 200, Pasadena, CA 91105

mkassabian@buehlerkassabian.com, (626) 219-0632			
	UNITED STATES I ENTRAL DISTRIC		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.	PLAINTIFF,	CASE NUMBE	CR22-00377-AB
Alex Roberts USMS Reg	z. #: <u>20027-509</u>		TT TO VIDEO/TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE R WAIVER OF DEFENDANT'S PRESENCE
	DEFENDANT(S).	×	AND PROPOSED FINDINGS/ORDER
Check each that applies:  ☑ CONSENT TO VIDEO CONFERENCE  1. Consent to Video Conference/Telephon		FERENCE	☐ WAIVER OF DEFENDANT'S PRESENCE
I, Alex Roberts		stand that the II	.S. Constitution, the Federal Rules of Criminal
Procedure, and/or one or more federal statu	ites may give me the rig	ht to have all the	e below-listed proceedings take place in person in o the proceedings below instead taking place by
Detention/Bail Review/Reconsideration Hearing(s) (18 U.S.C. Sec. 3142)			☐ Initial Appearance (Fed. R. Crim. P. 5)
Preliminary Hearing (Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1)			Arraignment (Fed. R. Crim. P. 10)
Pretrial Release Revocation Proceedings (18 U.S.C. Sec. 3148)			Waiver of Indictment (Fed. R. Crim. P. 7(b))
☐ Misdemeanor Pleas and Sentencings (Fed. R. Crim. P. 43(b)(2))			Appearances under Fed. R. Crim. P. 40
Probation and Supervised Release Revoc	cation Proceedings (Fed	l. R. Crim. P. 32	
	r telephonic conference		proceedings listed below, you must also complete
🔀 Felony Pleas (Fed. R. Cr	rim. P. 11)	Felony Sentenci	ngs (Fed. R. Crim. P. 32)
2. Waiver of Defendant's Presence			
I	undara	stand that the IT	C. Constitution the F. Louis B. L. (Co. 1)
Procedure and/or one or more fodoval status			S. Constitution, the Federal Rules of Criminal
by video conference, or by telephonic conferences or by telephonic conferences or by telephonic conferences or by video or by	rence. After consultatio	n with counsel,	at all of the below-listed proceedings - in person, I knowingly and voluntarily waive my right to be at the proceedings below:
Check each that applies (and use Form CR-3	5 to waive the defendant	's presence at ot	her types of proceedings):
Detention/Bail Review/Reconsideration	Hearing(s) (18 U.S.C. S	Sec. 3142)	Probation and Supervised Release Revocation
Preliminary Hearing (Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1)			Proceedings (Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.1)
Pretrial Release Revocation Proceedings (18 U.S.C. Sec. 3148)			Waiver of Indictment (Fed. R. Crim. P. 7(b))
Misdemeanor Pleas and Sentencings (Fed. R. Crim. P. 43(b)(2))			Appearances under Fed. R. Crim. P. 40
August 31, 2022	Mark W	1. Kassat	
Date	Defendant	Sig	ned for Defendant by Counsel for Defendant with fendant's Authorization [Check if applicable]
n Custody? For in-custody defen ⊠ Yes □ No list institution where	dants, Santana	onal Detention (	rendant's Authorization [Check if applicable]

I have translated this consent/waiver to the Defendant in the		language.
Date	Interpret	eter (if required)  Signed for Interpreter by Counsel for Defendant with  Interpreter's Authorization [Check if applicable]
Defendant's behalf, I fully advised th regarding such rights and the Defendance	e Defendant of the Defer dant's consent/waiver(s).	ant signing this document or authorizing me to sign this document on the endant's above-referenced rights and consulted with the Defendant ). I believe that the Defendant understands such rights and that the and I concur with such consent/waiver(s).
August 31, 2022	Me	ark M. Kassabian
Date	Counsel	for Defendant
3. Proposed Findings Regarding Ha	of Fruith on Dolon of	
No. 20-043 (In Re: Coronavirus Publ pleas and sentencings cannot be condor sentencing "cannot be further delaconsent to a felony plea or sentencing".	lic Emergency Use of Vic ducted other than in per ayed without serious har g taking place by video c	and Economic Security ("CARES") Act and § 2 of Order of the Chief Judge ideo and Telephonic Conference in Certain Criminal Proceedings), felony rson in open court unless the judge makes specific findings that the plea rm to the interests of justice." Accordingly, if the defendant intends to conference or, if video conference is not reasonably available, by ne defendant must set forth below proposed findings sufficient to make
calculations and other sentencing transport to this Court is cumberso hearing scheduled for today. Mr. Re	since then. The parties h agreements. Mr. Robert ome. The responsible au oberts desires to have tl	action, was commenced against defendant Alex Roberts in December have entered into plea agreements in both actions, including guideline its is being housed pre-trial at a detention facility in Arizona, and his uthorities did not transport Mr. Roberts to Court for his change of plea the resolution of this matter take place promptly, if possible today, and idio/video connection in lieu of postponement.
4. Order Adopting Findings Regard	ding Harm of Further I	Delay of Felony Plea or Sentencing
Pursuant to § 15002(b)(2) of the Cor	ronavirus Aid, Relief, and	nd Economic Security ("CARES") Act and § 2 of Order of the Chief Judge (ideo and Telephonic Conference in Certain Criminal Proceedings), I
<b>⊠</b> Felony Plea (	Fed. R. Crim. P. 11)	Felony Sentencing (Fed. R. Crim. P. 32)
in this case cannot be further delayed	d without serious harm t	to the interests of justice, for the reasons set forth above.